## Using Metrics to Improve Project Sustainability

PASC June 2024 Dr. Dawn M. Foster Director of Data Science for CHAOSS



https://github.com/chaoss

@chaoss@fosstodon.org




https://github.com/geekygirldawn

@geekygirldawn@hachyderm.io



#### Thank You!



## ALFRED P. SLOAN FOUNDATION








#### Whoami









Photos by Mom, <u>Josh Bancroft</u>, <u>Don Park</u>

- Geek, traveler, reader
- 20+ yr tech career focused on community & open source (VMware, Intel, Puppet, ...)
- OpenUK Board
- CHAOSS Board and Maintainer
- CNCF TAG Contrib Strategy co-chair
- PhD on Linux kernel collaboration

## Agenda

- Overview and Approaches
- Responsiveness
- Contributor sustainability
- Organizational participation
- Security
- Additional Sustainability Considerations

**Photo by Marco Verch - CC BY 2.0** 



# CHAOSS: Community Health Analytics for Open Source Software


#### We are:

- An Open Source, Linux Foundation Project
- Globally distributed with 2000+ members in Slack
- A lovely place to spend your time

#### We are NOT:

- Focused on public health (like vaccines)
- For-profit with any specific mandate
- Only focused on code contributions






#### Avoid the Tsunami

#### More isn't better:

- Think about your goals
- What questions should you answer
- Focus on a few metrics to interpret
- Build and add on from there

Goals → Questions → Metrics





## Metrics Require Interpretation

#### Practitioner Guides:

- Responsiveness
- Contributor Sustainability
- Organizational Participation
- More guides coming soon






#### Responsiveness

Projects that can keep up with contributions will be more sustainable


- Time to First Response
- Time to Close
- Change Request Closure Ratio





#### Responsiveness

Time to First Response




Interpretation: Healthy projects will have little or no gap. A large or increasing gap requires attention.



#### Responsiveness

Closure Ratio - Sustains and Keeps up with Contributions





Interpretation: Healthy projects will have little or no gap. A large or increasing gap requires attention.



## Contributor Sustainability


Projects without enough contributors to sustain them are at risk of failure.

- **Bus Factor**
- Contributors
- **Types of Contributions**



## Contributor Sustainability

Are there enough contributors to sustain the project if a key person left?






## Organizational Participation


Projects dominated by a single organization might be less sustainable.

- **Elephant Factor**
- Organizational Influence
- Organizational Diversity



## Organizational Participation

Getting clean organizational affiliation data can be a challenge. It just needs to be good enough to understand the dominant players.





### Security

A proactive approach to security increases trust and improves sustained adoption.


- Libyears
- Change Requests (merge / pull requests)
- Release Frequency





#### Security

Release Frequency: 13 releases in the past 6 months.



Interpretation: Healthy projects will have frequent releases with security updates, bug fixes, and features.



# Additional Sustainability / Viability Considerations


Governance
Community
Compliance and Security
Strategy





### Final Thoughts

Building sustainable open source projects is challenging. Identify issues using metrics and proactively improve sustainability before a crisis.





# THANK YOU! Any Questions?





https://github.com/chaoss

@chaoss@fosstodon.org



https://fastwonderblog.com/



https://github.com/geekygirldawn



@geekygirldawn@hachyderm.io



Presentation license: Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International